> सूचना का अधिकार अधिनियम, 2005 के अंतर्गत प्रथम अपीलीय प्राधिकारी की कार्यवाही

PROCEEDINGS OF THE FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY UNDER THE RIGHT TO INFORMATION ACT, 2005 Appeal No.66/2024

अपीलकर्ता का नाम/Name of Appellant

प्रतिवादी का नाम/Name of Respondent

Smt. Varde Hiranben B Central Public Information Officer ISRO Propulsion complex Mahendragiri, Tirunelveli District Tamilnadu - 627133

1. Shri. D. R. Selvanayagam had submitted a RTI application on 24/12/2023 and received by CPIO, IPRC seeking information under the provisions of RTI Act, 2005. Not satisfied with the reply provided by CPIO, appellant has submitted an appeal dated 12/03/2024 to furnish the information sought by him.

DECISION

2. It is observed that the appellant had sought details related to the LTC verification carried by IPRC as per the instruction from Department and its related documents. Based on the inputs received from the custodian of records, the CPIO replied to the applicant vide letter No.IPRC/CPIO/RTI/532/2023 dated 07/03/2024.

3. The appeal and the reply provided by CPIO have been examined. It is observed that the appellant is not satisfied with reply provided by CPIO on SI No.1(6) & 2(a). As for SI No. 1(6), the appellant is seeking the headings of column of table, which was not provided by the CPIO. It is observed that, in the details provided by Air India, only the first page contains the headings for columns and the CPIO had provided only the pages (38-53) wherein the data was available. Pursuant to the aforesaid, the page with headings of column of table is enclosed herewith. However, on perusal of the reply provided by CPIO for SI No. 2(a), it is observed that information was provided to the appellant's earlier RTI Application No.IPRCM/R/E/22/00059 dated 03/12/2022 stating that a total of 364 LTC cases were actually verified with Air India. The appellant is hereby informed that the number 364 not only includes the claims sent for paid verification on 12.05.2016 (69 cases) & 20.10.2021 (207cases), but also the claims verified using the itinerary receipts received from Air India during the period of 2013. It is further informed that, on observation of the assorted data available, it is seen that a total of 428 LTC cases were taken for verification so far. As such, I am of the opinion that the reply furnished by CPIO is in order .The appeal is decided accordingly and stands disposed of.

4. A second appeal against this decision lies with the **Central Information Commission, CIC Bhawan, Baba Gangnath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi-110067**, within ninety days from the date on which this decision is received.

4. This Appeal is disposed of at Mahendragiri on the 30th of April, 2024.

(आर रवींद्रन / R.Raveendran) प्रधान, का. व सा. प्र. / Head, P&GA प्रथम अपीलीय प्राधिकारी / First Appellate Authority

सेवा में/⊺o

2. Smt. Varde Hiranben B Central Public Information Officer ISRO Propulsion Complex Mahendragiri, Tirunelveli District Tamilnadu - 627133

Contraction of Contraction of Contraction

A is it stranged if as its secondard and anoth denity control is for 1.12 wethout the second is (PC) is set by minuscing the improvement of a second desired with the second as for space manyoral stars for the subtrates of second the 1.000 regime to the application with the built true of a set of the finite second filling and the second second second as a second in the second stars.

3) The support and the state interview is the CPU and a construction of the CPU is a support of the CPU is a second that and the construct of these shades and out of the CPU is the CPU is a second that is a maximum the support of the construct of these shades and out of the construct in the CPU is a second that the construct is a second of the construct of the construct of the construct is a second that is a second that the construct is a second that is a second that the construct is a second of the construct is a second of the construct of the construct is a second that a second of the construct of the cons

4. A second contraction of a model in the second descent for manufact for uniteration. Contraction of the Distance. Basic Contract Model States States of New Order 1996. Annual form instruments of the second descent in the second descent d

Remarks																								
Routing																							an er	Con the second s
Ticket status	-																							C
Total ticket fare Remitte d to AI																								
Commis	-																							
Fare as per Ticket (Gross +Tax)																								
Tax																								
Gross Fare																								
Ticke ted Class	_																							
Depart Date																								
Flight No																								
Agency Name																								
Date of Issue																								
Ticket Number Name as per ticket																								Fa
Ticket Number																								Prepared b
Issue Airline			11			L					1	1	1		_1	1	_1		1	1			1	
No	01	02	03	04	05	90	07	08	60	10	11	12	13	14	10	TOT	18	19	20	21	22	23	25	

Remarks																								
Routing																							an er	Con the second s
Ticket status	-																							C
Total ticket fare Remitte d to AI																								
Commis	-																							
Fare as per Ticket (Gross +Tax)																								
Tax																								
Gross Fare																								
Ticke ted Class	_																							
Depart Date																								
Flight No																								
Agency Name																								
Date of Issue																								
Ticket Number Name as per ticket																								Fa
Ticket Number																								Prepared b
Issue Airline			11								1	1	1		_1	1	_1		1	1			1	
No	01	02	03	04	05	90	07	08	60	10	11	12	13	14	10	TOT	18	19	20	21	22	23	25	

सूचना का अधिकार अधिनियम, 2005 के अंतर्गत प्रथम अपीलीय प्राधिकारी की कार्यवाही

PROCEEDINGS OF THE FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY UNDER THE RIGHT TO INFORMATION ACT, 2005 Appeal No.68/2024

अपीलकर्ता का नाम/Name of Appellant

प्रतिवादी का नाम/Name of Respondent

Smt. Varde Hiranben B Central Public Information Officer ISRO Propulsion complex Mahendragiri, Tirunelveli District Tamilnadu - 627133

1. **Shri. Shravan Kumar Patel** had submitted a RTI application on 23/01/2024 and received by CPIO, IPRC seeking information under the provisions of RTI Act, 2005. Due to non-receipt of information from CPIO within time limit, the applicant had submitted an appeal dated 03/04/2024 to First Appellate Authority (FAA), IPRC

DECISION

2. It is observed that the appellant had sought details related to the panel published subsequent to the completion of the recruitment carried out by the Unit. The application and appeal have been examined. CPIO/Custodian of records at IPRC is directed to provide the relevant information to the appellant within 20 days from the date of receipt of this order subject to 'exemptions' u/s 8(1) and 'severability clause' u/s 10 (1) of the RTI Act 2005. The appeal is decided accordingly.

3. A second appeal against this decision lies with the **Central Information Commission, CIC Bhawan, Baba Gangnath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi-110067**, within ninety days from the date on which this decision is received.

4. This Appeal is disposed of at Mahendragiri on the 30th of April, 2024.

(आर रवींद्रने / R.Raveendran) प्रधान, का. व सा. प्र. / Head, P&GA प्रथम अपीलीय प्राधिकारी / First Appellate Authority

सेवा में/⊺o

सूचना का अधिकार अधिनियम, 2005 के अंतर्गत प्रथम अपीलीय प्राधिकारी की कार्यवाही

PROCEEDINGS OF THE FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY UNDER THE RIGHT TO INFORMATION ACT, 2005 Appeal No.71/2024

अपीलकर्ता का नाम/Name of Appellant

प्रतिवादी का नाम/Name of Respondent

Smt. Varde Hiranben B Central Public Information Officer ISRO Propulsion complex Mahendragiri, Tirunelveli District Tamilnadu - 627133

1. Shri. Ahamed Meera Thamby had submitted a RTI application on 05/05/2024 and received by CPIO, IPRC seeking information under the provisions of RTI Act, 2005. Not satisfied with the reply provided by CPIO, the applicant had submitted an appeal dated 23/05/2024 to First Appellate Authority (FAA), IPRC

DECISION

2. It is observed that the appellant had sought details regarding Central Public Information Officer (CPIO), First Appellate Authority (FAA) and Second Appellate Authority of IPRC. Mahendragiri. The CPIO had provided the details to the applicant vide letter No.IPRC/CPIO/RTI/566/2024 dated 16.05.2024.

4. The RTI application, reply and appeal have been examined. It is understood that, the appellant is insisting to provide the reply by post to his communication address. However, it is noticed that, the appellant had lodged the application via RTI-MIS portal and the reply for the same has been uploaded by CPIO in the portal itself, which can be downloaded by the appellant. Further, the details of CPIO & FAA of IPRC are already available in the Unit's website (www.iprc.gov.in) and the appellant could have visited the site for any such information. As such, I' am of the opinion that, the action by CPIO is in order. Further, the appellant had not provided any justification to such extent which necessitates him to access the reply through writing. Despite the same, a copy of the reply is enclosed herewith. The appeal is decided accordingly.

3. A second appeal against this decision lies with the Central Information Commission, CIC Bhawan, Baba Gangnath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi-110067, within ninety days from the date on which this decision is received.

4. This Appeal is disposed of at Mahendragiri on the 28th of June, 2024.

(आर रवींद्रन / R.Raveendran) प्रधान, का. व सा. प्र. / Head, P&GA प्रथम अपीलीय प्राधिकारी / First Appellate Authority

सेवा में/To

र्स/No.IPRC/CPIO/RTI/566/2024

मई/May 16, 2024

महोदय/Sir,

<u>विषय : आर.टी.आई. अधिनियम - 2005 के अधीन मांगी गई सूचना के संबंध में</u> Sub: Information sought under RTI Act - 2005 - Reg;

Kindly refer to your RTI application No.IPRCM/R/E/24/00014 dated 05/05/2024 received by CPIO, IPRC seeking information under the RTI Act, 2005. In this regard, the details pertaining to IPRC is furnished below:

QUERY	REPLY
1. State the name, address and Contact Number of the Central Public Information Officer for ISRO Propulsion Complex, Mahendragiri.	The details are already available in the Centre's website (www.iprc.gov.in) under the
2. State the name, address and Contact Number of the First Appellate Authority for ISRO Propulsion Complex, Mahendragiri.	Right to Information link.
3. State the name and address of the Second Appellate Authority for ISRO Propulsion Complex, Mahendragiri.	Central Information Commission is the Second Appellate Authority and the details are available in the CIC website (www.cic.gov.in).

भवदीय/Yours faithfully

(वारडे हिरणबेन बी / Varde Hiranben B) वरिष्ठ प्रशासनिक अधिकारी / Senior Administrative Officer सीआईओ/CPIO टे/Tel. No.04637-281510 फक्स/Fax No.04637-232645/281618 ई-मेल/ Email: sao@iprc.gov.in

सूचना का अधिकार अधिनियम, 2005 के अंतर्गत प्रथम अपीलीय प्राधिकारी की कार्यवाही

PROCEEDINGS OF THE FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY UNDER THE RIGHT TO INFORMATION ACT, 2005 Appeal No.67/2024

अपीलकर्ता का नाम/Name of Appellant

प्रतिवादी का नाम/Name of Respondent

Smt. Varde Hiranben B Central Public Information Officer ISRO Propulsion complex Mahendragiri, Tirunelveli District Tamilnadu - 627133

1. Shri. W. Vinse Antro had submitted a RTI application on 07/12/2023 and received by CPIO, IPRC seeking information under the provisions of RTI Act, 2005. Due to non-receipt of information from CPIO, appellant had submitted an appeal dated 10/01/2024 and the same disposed on 08/02/2024 as the CPIO had already provided the information to the applicant. Not satisfied by the reply provided by CPIO, the applicant had submitted an appeal through e-mail dated 22/04/2024 to First Appellate Authority (FAA), IPRC.

DECISION

2. It is observed that the appellant had sought details with respect to certain provisions of Rule 16 of CCS (Conduct) Rules, 1964 and related queries in connection with the disciplinary proceedings initiated against him. CPIO had provided the information vide letter No.IPRC/CPIO/RTI/525/2023 dated 05.02.2024 to the applicant. The reply provided and the appeal have been examined. It is noticed that, the details sought by the appellant are predominantly of the nature of clarifications and seeking opinions / reasons from the PIO. The appellant may kindly note that, the PIO is bound to furnish information as defined under section 2(f) of the RTI Act and doesn't require to draw any inferences or make any assumptions or provide any rationale behind a particular action unless it is available on records. As, such I am of the opinion that the reply furnished by CPIO is in order. Hence, the appeal is decided accordingly.

3. A second appeal against this decision lies with the Central Information Commission, CIC Bhawan, Baba Gangnath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi-110067, within ninety days from the date on which this decision is received.

4. This Appeal is disposed of at Mahendragiri on the 1st of August, 2024.

(आर रवींद्रने R

प्रधान, का. व सा. प्र. / Head, P&GA प्रथम अपीलीय प्राधिकारी / First Appellate Authority

सेवा में/⊺०

सूचना का अधिकार अधिनियम, 2005 के अंतर्गत प्रथम अपीलीय प्राधिकारी की कार्यवाही

PROCEEDINGS OF THE FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY UNDER THE RIGHT TO INFORMATION ACT, 2005 Appeal No.69/2024

अपीलकर्ता का नाम/Name of Appellant

प्रतिवादी का नाम/Name of Respondent

Smt. Varde Hiranben B Central Public Information Officer ISRO Propulsion complex Mahendragiri, Tirunelveli District Tamilnadu - 627133

1. **Shri. W. Vinse Antro** had submitted a RTI application on 15/12/2023 and received by CPIO, IPRC seeking information under the provisions of RTI Act, 2005. Due to non-receipt of information from CPIO, appellant had submitted an appeal dated 16/01/2024 and the same disposed on 09/02/2024 as the CPIO had already provided the information to the applicant. Not satisfied by the reply provided by CPIO, the applicant had submitted another appeal through e-mail dated 22/04/2024 to First Appellate Authority (FAA), IPRC.

DECISION

2. It is observed that the appellant had sought details regarding documents and related queries in connection with the disciplinary proceedings initiated against him. CPIO had provided the information vide letter No.IPRC/CPIO/RTJ/528/2023 dated 05.02.2024 to the applicant. The reply provided and the appeal have been examined. It is noticed that, the details sought by the appellant are predominantly of the nature of clarifications and seeking opinions / reasons from the PIO. The appellant may kindly note that, the PIO is bound to furnish information as defined under section 2(f) of the RTI Act and doesn't require to draw any inferences or make any assumptions or provide any rationale behind a particular action unless it is available on records. As, such I am of the opinion that the reply furnished by CPIO is in order. Hence, the appeal is decided accordingly.

3. A second appeal against this decision lies with the Central Information Commission, CIC Bhawan, Baba Gangnath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi-110067, within ninety days from the date on which this decision is received.

4. This Appeal is disposed of at Mahendragiri on the 1st of August, 2024.

(आर रवींद्र

(आर रवींद्रने / R.Raveenaran) प्रधान, का. व सा. प्र. / Head, P&GA प्रथम अपीलीय प्राधिकारी / First Appellate Authority

सेवा में/⊺०

सूचना का अधिकार अधिनियम, 2005 के अंतर्गत प्रथम अपीलीय प्राधिकारी की कार्यवाही

PROCEEDINGS OF THE FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY UNDER THE RIGHT TO INFORMATION ACT, 2005 Appeal No.72/2024

अपीलकर्ता का नाम/Name of Appellant

प्रतिवादी का नाम/Name of Respondent

Smt. Varde Hiranben B Central Public Information Officer ISRO Propulsion complex Mahendragiri, Tirunelveli District Tamilnadu - 627133

1. Shri. Gourimohan Malik had submitted a RTI application on 24/05/2024 and received by CPIO, IPRC seeking information under the provisions of RTI Act, 2005. Due to non-receipt of information from CPIO, appellant had submitted an appeal dated 25/06/2024 to First Appellate Authority (FAA), IPRC for furnishing the information sought by him.

DECISION

2. It is observed that the appellant had sought information regarding the refund status of his application fee on attending the exam conducted by IPRC. Based on the inputs received from the custodian of records, the CPIO replied to the appellant vide letter No.IPRC/CPIO/RTI/568/2024 dated 21/08/2024.

3. As the reply had already been furnished by CPIO vide letter No.IPRC/CPIO/RTI/568/2024 dated 21/08/2024 the appeal stands disposed of. Further, I am of the opinion that the reply furnished by CPIO is in order. The appeal is decided accordingly.

3. A second appeal against this decision lies with the **Central Information Commission, CIC Bhawan, Baba Gangnath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi-110067**, within ninety days from the date on which this decision is received.

4. This Appeal is disposed of at Mahendragiri on the 3rd of September, 2024.

(आर रवींद्रने / R. Raveendran)

(आर रवाद्रन / R.Raveendran) प्रधान, का. व सा. प्र. / Head, P&GA प्रथम अपीलीय प्राधिकारी / First Appellate Authority

सेवा में/७०

सूचना का अधिकार अधिनियम, 2005 के अंतर्गत प्रथम अपीलीय प्राधिकारी की कार्यवाही

PROCEEDINGS OF THE FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY UNDER THE RIGHT TO INFORMATION ACT, 2005 Appeal No.73/2024

अपीलकर्ता का नाम/Name of Appellant

प्रतिवादी का नाम/Name of Respondent

Smt. Varde Hiranben B Central Public Information Officer ISRO Propulsion complex Mahendragiri, Tirunelveli District Tamilnadu - 627133

1. Shri. Ashish Shankar had submitted a RTI application on 20/03/2024 and received by CPIO, IPRC seeking information under the provisions of RTI Act, 2005. Not satisfied with the reply provided by CPIO, appellant had submitted an appeal dated 20/07/2024 to First Appellate Authority (FAA), IPRC.

DECISION

2. It is observed that the appellant had nowhere sought any information, but primarily levelled allegations against Shri. P. K. Mishra, Principal Secretary, PMO. Since the details mentioned in the application doesn't come under the purview of the Unit, CPIO informed the same to the appellant vide letter No.IPRC/CPIO/RTI/559/2024 dated 16/05/2024.

3. It is also noticed that, the applicant had preferred the appeal after 30 days from the date on which the information or decision of the Public Information Officer was received (reply uploaded in RTI MIS on 17/05/2024). However, taking in a lenient view the appeal and the reply provided by CPIO was examined. On perusal of the same, I am of the opinion that the reply furnished by CPIO is in order. The appeal is decided accordingly.

3. A second appeal against this decision lies with the **Central Information Commission, CIC Bhawan, Baba Gangnath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi-110067**, within ninety days from the date on which this decision is received.

4. This Appeal is disposed of at Mahendragiri on the 3rd of September, 2024.

(आर रवींद्रन / R.Raveendran) प्रधान, का. व सा. प्र. / Head, P&GA प्रथम अपीलीय प्राधिकारी / First Appellate Authority



2. Smt. Varde Hiranben B Central Public Information Officer ISRO Propulsion Complex Mahendragiri, Tirunelveli District Tamilnadu - 627133

सेवा में/To

सूचना का अधिकार अधिनियम, 2005 के अंतर्गत प्रथम अपीलीय प्राधिकारी की कार्यवाही

PROCEEDINGS OF THE FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY UNDER THE RIGHT TO INFORMATION ACT, 2005 Appeal No.74/2024

अपीलकर्ता का नाम/Name of Appellant

प्रतिवादी का नाम/Name of Respondent

Smt. Varde Hiranben B Central Public Information Officer ISRO Propulsion complex Mahendragiri, Tirunelveli District Tamilnadu - 627133

1. **Shri. Ashish Shankar** had submitted a RTI application on 27/06/2024 and received by CPIO, IPRC seeking information under the provisions of RTI Act, 2005. Not satisfied with the reply provided by CPIO, appellant had submitted an appeal dated 02/08/2024 to First Appellate Authority (FAA), IPRC.

DECISION

2. It is observed that the appellant had nowhere sought any information, but primarily levelled allegations against Shri. P. K. Mishra, Principal Secretary, PMO. Since the details mentioned in the application doesn't come under the purview of the Unit, CPIO informed the same to the appellant vide letter No.IPRC/CPIO/RTI/572/2024 dated 26/07/2024.

3. The application, reply provided and appeal was examined. On perusal of the same, I am of the opinion that the reply furnished by CPIO is in order. The appeal is decided accordingly.

3. A second appeal against this decision lies with the Central Information Commission, CIC Bhawan, Baba Gangnath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi-110067, within ninety days from the date on which this decision is received.

4. This Appeal is disposed of at Mahendragiri on the 3rd of September, 2024.

(आर रवींद्रने / R. Raveendran)

प्रधान, का. व सा. प्र. / Head, P&GA प्रथम अपीलीय प्राधिकारी / First Appellate Authority

सेवा में/⊺०

सूचना का अधिकार अधिनियम, 2005 के अंतर्गत प्रथम अपीलीय प्राधिकारी की कार्यवाही

PROCEEDINGS OF THE FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY UNDER THE RIGHT TO INFORMATION ACT, 2005 Appeal No.75/2024

अपीलकर्ता का नाम/Name of Appellant

प्रतिवादी का नाम/Name of Respondent

Smt. Varde Hiranben B Central Public Information Officer ISRO Propulsion complex Mahendragiri, Tirunelveli District Tamilnadu - 627133

1. Shri. Nikhil Gupta had submitted a RTI application on 25/04/2024 and received by CPIO, IPRC seeking information under the provisions of RTI Act, 2005. Not satisfied with the reply provided by CPIO, appellant had submitted an appeal dated 20/06/2024 to First Appellate Authority (FAA), IPRC.

DECISION

2. It is observed that the appellant had sought information regarding the refund status of his application fee on attending the exam conducted by IPRC. Based on the inputs received from the custodian of records, the CPIO replied to the appellant vide letter No.IPRC/CPIO/RTI/565/2024 dated 17/05/2024. However, the appellant in his appeal is claiming that he had not received any refund till date.

3. The application, reply provided and appeal has been examined. On perusal, it is observed that due to a technical glitch, the bank account details as entered by certain candidates in the application and the data migrated from the system became mismatching. Indvertently, the details were forwarded to bank authorities for payment during the month of March 2024. Since payment couldn't be made by the bank in such cases where there was a mismatching in account details, they have returned the amount to the Unit without specifying the cases. Accordingly, it was decided to scrutinize the matter and to identify possible more such cases where payment was not credited due to bank details being incorrect. However, in the instant case of the appellant, it is seen that the processing for crediting the refund of application fee was made on 19/03/2024. Further, there was no mismatch between the account details as entered by the appellant in his application and the details migrated from system. Since the rectification process is already undergoing, the claim of the appellant shall also be scrutinized and ascertain that the payment was credited or not. If not, appropriate action shall be taken for crediting the refund. The appeal is decided accordingly.

3. A second appeal against this decision lies with the **Central Information Commission, CIC Bhawan, Baba Gangnath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi-110067**, within ninety days from the date on which this decision is received.

4. This Appeal is disposed of at Mahendragiri on the 10th of September, 2024.

(आर रवींद्रम / R.Raveendran)

(आर रवाद्रमें / R.Raveendran) प्रधान, का. व सा. प्र. / Head, P&GA प्रथम अपीलीय प्राधिकारी / First Appellate Authority

सेवा में/⊺०

सूचना का अधिकार अधिनियम, 2005 के अंतर्गत प्रथम अपीलीय प्राधिकारी की कार्यवाही

PROCEEDINGS OF THE FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY UNDER THE RIGHT TO INFORMATION ACT, 2005 Appeal No.76/2024

अपीलकर्ता का नाम/Name of Appellant

प्रतिवादी का नाम/Name of Respondent

Smt. Varde Hiranben B Central Public Information Officer ISRO Propulsion complex Mahendragiri, Tirunelveli District Tamilnadu - 627133

1. Shri. J. Suresh Jeevan had submitted a RTI application on 13/03/2024 and received by CPIO, IPRC seeking information under the provisions of RTI Act, 2005. Not satisfied with the reply provided by CPIO, appllicant had submitted an appeal dated 13/07/2024 to First Appellate Authority (FAA), IPRC.

DECISION

2. It is observed that the appellant had sought details related to the LTC recovery process carried by IPRC as per the instruction from Department. Based on the inputs received from the custodian of records, the CPIO replied to the appellant vide letter No.IPRC/CPIO/RTI/558/2024 dated 25/06/2024.

3. The appeal and the reply provided by CPIO have been examined. It is seen that the appellant is not satisfied with reply provided by CPIO on SI No.1(a), 1(b), 1(e), 1(g) and 2(a) of the application. Accordingly, the observations made on the contentions of the appellant is detailed below:

For SI No.1(a) & 1(b):

On perusal of the appeal on SI No.1(a) & (b), it is observed that the appellant on receipt of information from CPIO is seeking clarifications on certain details which is not acceptable. Further, it is seen that, the CPIO had already provided the available noting copy to the appellant as sought by him.

For SI No.1(e):

The appellant has sought the LTC claims of certain employees and CPIO had requested for inspection of the records. Citing old age and secured premises of the Unit, the appellant is requesting to avoid inspection and to provide the information as such. In this regard, it is observed that, the appellant is a retired employee of the Unit and holding a valid pensioners identity card, by which he can access entry into the office during working hours. Since, CPIO didn't denied access to information, it would be ideal that the appellant may visit the office and carry out necessary inspection of records for information as sought in his RTI.

For SI No.1(g):

The appellant had sought the detailed report provided by Air India for verification of 1297 air tickets. On perusal, it is noted that, only 99 tickets among the 1297 tickets were able to be verified by Air India and CPIO had provided the same to the appellant. The remaining tickets were not verifiable by Air India as it was booked prior to 2014. Since, CPIO provided the details verified by Air India, the same is in order.

A.J. J. J.

For SI No.2(a):

It is observed that, the appellant had sought same details vide his RTI applications dated 01/11/2023 and 13/03/204 (for which appeal is preferred) and the reply provided by CPIO on both occasions is seen to different. On perusal, it is observed that, CPIO while providing information for the RTI application dated 01/11/2023 vide letter No.IPRC/CPIO/RTI/504/2023 dated 04/01/2024, had inadvertently included details of employees / retired employees from whom recovery was made / initiated. It is however observed that, the oversight may have been occurred on compilation of data for providing information. As such, the details provided in the reply letter No.IPRC/CPIO/RTI/558/2024 dated 25/06/2024 is correct which is inclusive of names of employees / retired employees wherein discrepancies are noted and recovery is not initiated due to insufficient data.

4. It is observed that, many RTI applications are being received in this Unit seeking information regarding the verification carried out on fraudulent LTC claims. The appellant shall understand that, the verification of fraudulent LTC claims was a complex procedure and is voluminous in nature and dealt by different sections of the Unit. As such, to sort out any related details on the same, the custodian of records has to compile over a large amount of data, which would disproportionately divert the manpower and resources of the public authority. In this regard, it is suggested that, if the appellant is having any further queries regarding the recovery of fraudulent LTC claims, it is advisable for the appellant to opt for inspection of the available records subject to severance (u/s 10) and exemptions (u/s 8) under the RTI, Act which can exemplify transparency on the actions of the public authority. Hence, the appeal is decided accordingly.

4. A second appeal against this decision lies with the **Central Information Commission, CIC Bhawan, Baba Gangnath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi-110067**, within ninety days from the date on which this decision is received.

4. This Appeal is disposed of at Mahendragiri on the 26th of September, 2024.

(आर रवींद्रन / R.Raveendran)

(आर रवाद्रन / R.Raveendran) प्रधान, का. व सा. प्र. / Head, P&GA प्रथम अपीलीय प्राधिकारी / First Appellate Authority

सेवा में∕⊺o

सूचना का अधिकार अधिनियम, 2005 के अंतर्गत प्रथम अपीलीय प्राधिकारी की कार्यवाही

PROCEEDINGS OF THE FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY UNDER THE RIGHT TO INFORMATION ACT, 2005 Appeal No.78/2024

अपीलकर्ता का नाम/Name of Appellant

प्रतिवादी का नाम/Name of Respondent

Smt. Varde Hiranben B Central Public Information Officer ISRO Propulsion complex Mahendragiri, Tirunelveli District Tamilnadu - 627133

1. Shri. Gundala Sreeram Siva had submitted a RTI application on 10/08/2024 and received by CPIO, IPRC seeking information under the provisions of RTI Act, 2005. Due to non-receipt of information from CPIO, appellant has submitted an appeal dated 11/09/2024 to furnish the information sought by him.

DECISION

2. It is observed that the appellant had sought various details related to the compliant he had submitted to Liaison Officer for SC/ST/PWD & Ex-Servicemen of the Unit. Based on the inputs received from the custodian of records, the CPIO replied to the appellant vide letter No.IPRC/CPIO/RTI/581/2024 dated 26/09/2024.

3. As the reply had already been furnished by CPIO vide letter No.IPRC/CPIO/RTI/581/2024 dated 26/09/2024, the appeal stands disposed of. Further, I am of the opinion that the reply furnished by CPIO is in order. The appeal is decided accordingly.

4. A second appeal against this decision lies with the **Central Information Commission, CIC Bhawan, Baba Gangnath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi-110067**, within ninety days from the date on which this decision is received.

4. This Appeal is disposed of at Mahendragiri on the 14th of October, 2024.

(आर रवींद्रने / R.Raveendran)

(आर रेवाइन / R.Raveendran) प्रधान, का. व सा. प्र. / Head, P&GA प्रथम अपीलीय प्राधिकारी / First Appellate Authority

सेवा में/ा∩

सचनाकाअधिकारअधिनियम. 2005केअंतर्गत प्रथमअपीलीयप्राधिकारीकीकार्यवाही

PROCEEDINGS OF THE FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY UNDER THE **RIGHT TO INFORMATION ACT, 2005** Appeal No.77/2024

अपीलकर्ताकानाम/Name of Appellant

प्रतिवादीकानाम/Name of Respondent

Central Public Information Officer ISRO Propulsion complex Mahendragiri Tirunelveli District Tamilnadu - 627133

1.Shri. D.R. Selvanayagam had submitted a RTI application on 22/03/2024 and received by CPIO, IPRC seeking information under the provisions of RTI Act, 2005. Due to non-receipt of information from CPIO, applicant has submitted an appeal dated 07.07.2024 to First Appellate Authority (FAA), IPRC requesting to furnish the information sought by him.

DECISION

2. It is observed that the appellant had sought details related to the LTC recovery process on fraudulent claims carried by IPRC as per the instruction from Department. Based on the inputs received from the custodian of records, the CPIO replied to the appellant vide letter No.IPRC/CPIO/RTI/560/2024 dated 01/08/2024 (signed on 02/08/2024). On receipt of the reply from CPIO, Shri. Selvanayagam had preferred another appeal vide e-mail dated 13.08.2023, stating that the reply provided by CPIO are not satisfactory

3. The appeal and the reply provided by CPIO have been examined. It is seen that the appellant is not satisfied with reply provided by CPIO on SI No.2(b), 2e(ii), 2e(iv), 3(a), 3(b), 3(c) and 3(d). In this regard, the observations made on the contentions of the appellant is detailed below:

For SI No.2(b), 2e(ii), 3(a), 3(b), 3(c) and 3(d):

On perusal of the reply provided, it is observed that CPIO didn't denied access of informationto the appellant but requested for inspection of records. Since most of the information are not readily available in sought form and requires compilation of a large amount of data, it is advised that, the appellant may opt for inspection of records. As such, the replies provided by CPIO on the above points are in order.

For SI No.2e(iv):

On examination, it is seen that the appellant had sought for the verification report and CPIO had replied that no report is available other than the copy of itinerary receipts provided by Air India. Since, in the absence of such a report, the reply provided by CPIO is in order. However, a copy of the itinerary report received from Air India in respect of the retiree after severance under section 10 is enclosed.

4. It is observed that, many RTI applications are being received in this Unit seeking information regarding the verification carried out on fraudulent LTC claims. The appellant shall understand that, the verification of fraudulent LTC claims was a complex procedure and is voluminous in nature and dealt by different sections of the Unit. As such, to sort out any related details on the same, the custodian of records has to compile over a large

Mistick Page 1 of 2

amount of data, which would disproportionately divert the manpower and resources of the public authority. In this regard, it is suggested that, if the appellant is having any further queries regarding the recovery of fraudulent LTC claims, it is advisable for the appellant to opt for inspection of the available records subject to severance (u/s 10) and exemptions (u/s 8) under the RTI, Act which can exemplify transparency on the actions of the public authority. Hence, the appeal is decided accordingly.

4. A second appeal against this decision lies with the Central Information Commission, CIC Bhawan, Baba Gangnath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi-110067, within ninety days from the date on which this decision is received.

4. This Appeal is disposed of at Mahendragiri on the 29th of October, 2024.

(आररवेंद्रिन/सि.Raveendran) प्रधान, का. वसा. प्र./Head, P&GA प्रथमअपीलीयप्राधिकारी/First Appellate Authority

सेवामें/10

*** STINGARY MEDELPT - BURLISSIE ***

AATE OF ISSUE, ØJDECLI Rido, AT ZECB9716 SBFH4AA

在一位市台和出开,他们的时候来。 网络树白山谷 机构的现在分词

NAME OF PASSENGER: ADAVIDDASON/MG

ZADEDI AL ATA INFERDURAL, INDIA 1245 MAA CHANNAL DI AAAO 6-OK ALA LADIA ALA LADIA	DATE FLIGHT DEPA	DE PARTURE	11,680	ARR LVAL	S LTHE CLASS	9 (de 3
TERMINAL D TERMINAL D	26DED11 AL 672		的使空气	p	2440 5-06	Tonin and
I ERMINAL D'TERMINAL INDIA 2015 DEL-DELHI, INDIA TERMINAL D'TERMINAL J TERMINAL D'TERMINAL J TERMINAL J TERMINAL J TERMINAL J TERMINAL J TERMINAL J TERMINAL J TERMINAL J	普里克 克林田主岛	: \ 8			d I HO THU	
I 639 DEL-DELHI, INDIA 9618 990-DHENNAI, FUDIA TERMINAL 3 I 871 MA-DHENNAI, INDIA 1215 IXI-WADIRAI, LODIA	ZADECLI AL 302 ATR INDIA				29655 5-05K 20-05	10
1 SZT MAR-CHENNAL INDIA 1218 IXT-MADURAL, INDIA 1305 TERMINAL D	SIDEC11 AI 439 AIR INDIA				Mart Geographics	
	AIR INDIA		0 101 11	TXT-MADURAL, INDIA.	d 正当:" 由日 MO " Gott T	

-

AGENCY/AIR THE NAME: VINAYAKA AIR FRAVEL AGEN UCLUATA

REPERT CTICHES NON-END/VALID ALTHON END / MEN REP

FORM OF PAYNENT: CASH FAME: BASE TAX/FEE/CHARDE TOTAL INK

(A.)-

11月19月11日

TAX/FEE/CHARDE TOTAL INR 10357 - TOTAL 22157 TAX/FEE/CHARDE ITEMIZATION

USER DEVELOPMENT FER ZALIN SERVICE FAX SERVICE FER SZAIN PASSENDER SHAVICE FER 91.6WD Please carry this litherary Receist and velve Proto UD Dury to the Alroch. Winesking Doubter Plonence Tynes

UAGOTE

FUEL CLANS

*** FTIMERARY RECEIPT - DUPLEDRIE ***

DF 15312 - \$30801 -RECC: AI 0.43 E

四十十二〇六百十 四七百百天。 岁夕四年3月1 多以出口分明

SOME OF

PACOENOER,

DATE

四何度 Nak Nak N a c 20-1-369T IXM-MADURAI, IADIA PAG-CHENNAT, ENDIA DEL-DELMI, INDIA TERMINAL I MAA-CHENNAX . TERMINAL D AGENCYZAIRLINE NAME: VINAYAKA AIR TRAVEL AGEN KOLKATA TENNING D ARE I VAL RESTRICTIONS: NON-END VALID AI NON END //NON REF 81.02 TAE: Ctra P のための IXM-MADURAL, INDIA TNDIA WIGNE TNDIG MAA-CHENNAI, MAA-CHENNAI, DEL-DELFI. TERMINAL 3 JERMINAL D DEPARTURE TERMINAL FORM OF PAYMENT: CASH 62.5 SIDECLI AI AZI ZODECII AI ZODECLU AT TALLAT AI AIR IND: A AIE INDIA AIR INDIA AIR INDIA

inte Scili

TAX/FEE/CHARGE TOTAL

FARE: BABE

-

ENP. A R A R

- BYGG08 同志となる もこのこう 91.6MD . TAX/FEE/CMARGE ITEMIZATION PASSENDER BERVICE FEE ·· USEW DEVELOPMENT PEL SCRVICE TAX EULL DHARGE

Hr. 60.0 Please carry this [tanerary Receipt and value Photo 22 Carry

1

OATE HI TAUY	1		and the second		
			ARRIVAL	LIME CLAUG	말장말
Zapeuli AI 672 Air india	I XM-MADURAT - 1	SWDIN 1345	MAA CHEMNAI, INDIA TERMINAL D	*r 	NG2
Z6DECLI A: 802 AIR INDIA	MAA-CHENNMI. I Terminal d	Elsz - VIUNI	DEL-DELHI, INDIA Terminal J	2255 5- <u>0</u> K FB:SAP7	28 M
ZIDECLI AI 439 AIR INDIA	DEL-DELHI, INDIA TERMINAL 3	I.A. Wald	MAA-CHENNANI INDIA Terminal d	0858 T-01	
JIDECTI AI 671 AIR INDIA	MAA-CHENNAT. D	ALZI AIGNI	ALCIMI . I ARDULARY I	1385 L-CH:	n. K
and for a state of the state of			the second se		
AGENCY/AIRLINE NAME: RESTRICTIONS: NON-END	VINAYARA /	AIR TRAVEL	TRAVEL AGEN KOLKATA		~
FORM OF PAYMENT' CASH FARE: BASE TAX/FEE/CHARGE TUTAL TOTAL	I NR T NR T NR	Lado Lado Alta y	ANDM REF	• •	
TAX/FEE/CHARGE ITEMIZATION USER DEVELOPMENT FGE SERVICE TAX PASSENGEN SERVICE FEE FUEL CHARGE	TEMIZATION NT FRE ICE FRE	0.49898 0.1722 N.1722		2 - 55 - 52	

ŝ

1

E-T-DATE NUMBER: ANGESEIGEBIGE *** YTINGORY NALEIFT - DUPLICATE ***

DATE DE ISBUE, SERELI - RUDON AI TEDRYJE BRENAA

2

1

22

New LITUNDARY REEEER'- OUDLIEDTE ***

RLDC: AI ZECRYIG SUPAR TUBDES GIREST DOLE OF

E-TIDKET NUMBER's BREESSIESESES ŝ

> PAGGENGER, NAME OF

一回使回 CLASS: dITENNE I $\left\| \mathcal{A} \right\|_{L^{\infty}}^{\infty} = \left\{ \mathcal{C} \right\} \qquad \left\| \mathcal{C} \right\|_{L^{\infty}}^{\infty} = \left\{ \mathcal{C} \right\} \left\| \mathcal{C} \right\|_{L^{\infty}}^{\infty} = \left$ FB:SAP7 2001 0388 AD- " STATE 网络索尔 VIGNE TXM-MADURAT INDIA AIGNI VI GHI MAAS=CHENNAA L 1 2 ANNAR - ASM DEL-DELHI. C THALMARS AGENCY/AIRLINE NAME: VINAYAKA AJR TRAVEL AGEN KOLKATA TERNING: 3 U TENIMER D RESTRICTIONS: NON-END VALID AI NUM END //NON REF 221.1N NEGGS 口程学工作 11 800 1.826J TNDYA ALC: A TERMINAL D MAALCHENNAL. AND: DEL DLLHI. TERMINAL 3 DEPORTURE TAX/FEE/CHARGE ITEMIZATION PASSENGER SERVICE FEE TREA DEVELOPMENT FIGE FORM OF PAYMENT : CASH RAX/FEE/CHARGE TOTAL 298 As the ly SERVITE 1AX IV ITURCIE 101111 FRAIL DAGE GIGAL MUR AIR INDIA AIN INDIA AIR INDIA

39289883

1227

to the Altpart, Please carry this litherary Receipt and valid Photo 25 Cars *Check-in Stunter Closure Time:

日本の時では

. Domestic - 45 Mirute bafare Ben. Internationals do Mirute before Departure. • Domestic -11chts departing from Intl Terminal : 40 Minutes before Departure.

12-

सूचनाकाअधिकारअधिनियम, 2005केअंतर्गत प्रथमअपीलीयप्राधिकारीकीकार्यवाही

PROCEEDINGS OF THE FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY UNDER THE RIGHT TO INFORMATION ACT, 2005 Appeal No.78/2024

अपीलकर्ताकानाम/Name of Appellant

प्रतिवादीकानाम/Name of Respondent

Central Public Information Officer ISRO Propulsion complex Mahendragiri Tirunelveli District Tamilnadu - 627133

1.Shri. Gundala Sreeram Siva had submitted a RTI application on 05/09/2024 and received by CPIO, IPRC seeking information under the provisions of RTI Act, 2005. Not satisfied with the reply provided by CPIO, applicant has submitted an appeal dated 28/10/2024 to First Appellate Authority (FAA), IPRC.

DECISION

2. It is observed that the appellant had sought details related to the complaint he had submitted the Liaison Officer for SC/ST/PwD/Ex-Servicemen of the Unit. Based on the inputs received from the custodian of records, CPIO replied to the appellant vide letter No.IPRC/CPIO/RTI/588/2024 dated 25/10/2024. On receipt of the reply from CPIO, Shri. Gundala Sreeram Siva had preferred an appeal stating that the reply provided by CPIO is not satisfactory

3. The appeal and the reply provided have been examined. It is observed that, the appellant had not pointed out the information / replies provided by the CPIO, against which he is having any discontent. As such the appeal cannot be proceeded further. Also, I'm of the opinion that the reply provided by CPIO is in order. Hence, the appeal is decided accordingly.

4. A second appeal against this decision lies with the **Central Information Commission, CIC Bhawan, Baba Gangnath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi-110067**, within ninety days from the date on which this decision is received.

4. This Appeal is disposed of at Mahendragiri on the 27th of November, 2024.

(आररवींद्रन/R.Raveendran) प्रधान, का. वसा. प्र./Head, P&GA प्रथमअपीलीयप्राधिकारी/First Appellate Authority

2. Central Public Information Officer ISRO Propulsion Complex Mahendragiri Tirunelveli District Tamilnadu - 627133

सेवामें/To

सूचनाकाअधिकारअधिनियम, 2005केअंतर्गत प्रथमअपीलीयप्राधिकारीकीकार्यवाही

PROCEEDINGS OF THE FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY UNDER THE RIGHT TO INFORMATION ACT, 2005 Appeal No.79/2024

अपीलकर्ताकानाम/Name of Appellant

प्रतिवादीकानाम/Name of Respondent

Central Public Information Officer ISRO Propulsion complex Mahendragiri Tirunelveli District Tamilnadu - 627133

1.Shri. Gundala Sreeram Siva had submitted a RTI application on 05/09/2024 and received by CPIO, IPRC seeking information under the provisions of RTI Act, 2005. Not satisfied with the reply provided by CPIO, applicant has submitted an appeal dated 28/10/2024 to First Appellate Authority (FAA), IPRC.

DECISION

2. It is observed that the appellant had sought details related to the complaint he had submitted the Liaison Officer for SC/ST/PwD/Ex-Servicemen of the Unit. Based on the inputs received from the custodian of records, CPIO replied to the appellant vide letter No.IPRC/CPIO/RTI/589/2024 dated 24/10/2024. On receipt of the reply from CPIO, Shri. Gundala Sreeram Siva had preferred an appeal stating that the reply provided by CPIO is not satisfactory

3. The appeal and the reply provided have been examined. It is observed that, the appellant had not pointed out the information / replies provided by the CPIO, against which he is having any discontent. As such the appeal cannot be proceeded further. Also, I'm of the opinion that the reply provided by CPIO is in order. Hence, the appeal is decided accordingly.

4. A second appeal against this decision lies with the **Central Information Commission, CIC Bhawan, Baba Gangnath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi-110067**, within ninety days from the date on which this decision is received.

4. This Appeal is disposed of at Mahendragiri on the 27th of November, 2024.

(आररवेद्रिन/R.Raveendran) प्रधान, का. वसा. प्र./Head, P&GA प्रथमअपीलीयप्राधिकारी/First Appellate Authority

सेवामें/To

सूचनाकाअधिकारअधिनियम, 2005केअंतर्गत प्रथमअपीलीयप्राधिकारीकीकार्यवाही

PROCEEDINGS OF THE FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY UNDER THE RIGHT TO INFORMATION ACT, 2005 Appeal No.80/2025

अपीलकर्ताकानाम/Name of Appellant

प्रतिवादीकानाम/Name of Respondent

Central Public Information Officer ISRO Propulsion complex Mahendragiri Tirunelveli District Tamilnadu - 627133

1. Shri. Pandiyan T had submitted a RTI application on 27/12/2024 and received by CPIO, IPRC seeking information under the provisions of RTI Act, 2005. Due to non-receipt of information from CPIO, appellant has submitted an appeal dated 29/01/2025 to furnish the information sought by him.

DECISION

2. It is observed that the appellant had sought details related to Compensatory Off pertaining to AISE entity of IPRC. The application and appeal have been examined, CPIO / Custodian of records at IPRC is directed to provide the relevant information to the appellant within 15 days from the date of receipt of this order subject to 'severability clause' u/s 10 (1) 'exemptions' u/s 8 of the RTI Act 2005. The appeal is decided accordingly.

3. A second appeal against this decision lies with the **Central Information Commission, CIC Bhawan, Baba Gangnath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi-110067**, within ninety days from the date on which this decision is received.

4. This Appeal is disposed of at Mahendragiri on the 14th of February, 2025.

(आर रवींद्रेन / R.Raveendran) प्रधान, का. वसा. प्र. / Head, P&GA प्रथमअपीलीयप्राधिकारी / First Appellate Authority

सेवामें/To

सूचनाकाअधिकारअधिनियम, 2005केअंतर्गत प्रथमअपीलीयप्राधिकारीकीकार्यवाही

PROCEEDINGS OF THE FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY UNDER THE RIGHT TO INFORMATION ACT, 2005 Appeal No.81/2025

अपीलकर्ताकानाम/Name of Appellant

प्रतिवादीकानाम/Name of Respondent

Central Public Information Officer ISRO Propulsion complex Mahendragiri Tirunelveli District Tamilnadu - 627133

1. Shri. Pandiyan T had submitted a RTI application on 07/01/2025 and received by CPIO, IPRC seeking information under the provisions of RTI Act, 2005. Due to non-receipt of information from CPIO, appellant has submitted an appeal dated 06/02/2025 to furnish the information sought by him.

DECISION

2. It is observed that the appellant had sought details related to the official tours of Deputy Director, AISE Entity of IPRC and various other queries. The application and appeal have been examined, CPIO / Custodian of records at IPRC is directed to provide the relevant information to the appellant within 15 days from the date of receipt of this order subject to 'severability clause' u/s 10 (1) 'exemptions' u/s 8 of the RTI Act 2005. The appeal is decided accordingly.

3. A second appeal against this decision lies with the Central Information Commission, CIC Bhawan, Baba Gangnath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi-110067, within ninety days from the date on which this decision is received.

4. This Appeal is disposed of at Mahendragiri on the 14th of February, 2025.

(आर रवींद्रने) Raveendran)

प्रधान, का. वसा. प्र. / Head, P&GA प्रथमअपीलीयप्राधिकारी / First Appellate Authority

सेवामें/To

सूचनाकाअधिकारअधिनियम्. 2005केअंतर्गत प्रथमअपीलीयप्राधिकारीकीकार्यवाही

PROCEEDINGS OF THE FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY UNDER THE **RIGHT TO INFORMATION ACT, 2005** Appeal No.82/2025

अपीलकर्ता का नाम / Name of Appellant

प्रतिवादी का नाम / Name of Respondent

Central Public Information Officer ISRO Propulsion complex Mahendragiri **Tirunelveli District** Tamilnadu - 627133

1. Shri. Riju R had submitted a RTI application on 20/09/2024 and received by CPIO. IPRC seeking information under the provisions of RTI Act, 2005. Due to non-receipt of information from CPIO, appellant has submitted an appeal dated 20/11/2024 to furnish the information sought by him

DECISION

2. It is observed that the appellant had sought various details regarding the formalities and procedures for issuing gate passes and also requested to provide the gate passes issued to officials from Fluid Control Research Institute (FCRI), Kanjikode West, Palakkad for the period from 2016-2023. CPIO, IPRC vide letter No.IPRC/CPIO/RTI/592/2024 dated 11.12.2024 had furnished the reply to the appellant. On receipt of the reply from CPIO, Shri. Riju had preferred another appeal vide e-mail dated 09.01.2025, stating that the reply provided by CPIO are not satisfactory.

3. The appeal and the reply provided by CPIO have been examined. It is observed that, CPIO had denied the information to the applicant for SI No.1 to 4 and part of SI. No.5, citing exemptions under section 8 of the RTI, Act. The further observations noted are as follows.

For SI No.1 and 2, the appellant had sought to provide details of all types of gate passes issued to individuals for entry into IPRC, Mahendragiri, from 2016 to 2023 and also the formalities / procedures / required documents required for issuing gate passes.

The appellant shall note that, the information sought is voluminous in nature at the first instance. Further, the office is functioning under the watch of the security cordon with restrictions and a lot of R&D and testing works are being carried out involving national interest. Accordingly, facilitation of some of the works in the Unit requires association of manpower from different resources and they are engaged for the same. The appellant may note that, furnishing the data related to such a large number of people can be subjected to improper usage and in turn raise security concerns. Moreover, for providing the data relating to all of them requires huge compilation of data which would disproportionately diverts the resources of the public authority.

However, the appellant is informed that the formalities and procedures for issuance of gate pass was detailed by CPIO for SI No.5 and the same logic applies for SI No.2 also. It is further informed to the appellant that, visitors pass is being issued to all workers / contractors for shorter period of time. Depending upon the time period for work, monthly passes may be issued to them up to a maximum of 89 days which may be renewed subject to requirement.

 $\gamma = \gamma = \gamma = \gamma$ Page 1 of 2

For SI No.3 and 4, the appellant had sought to provide the details of all types of gate passes issued to Fluid Control Research Institute (FCRI) and the requests submitted by them.

Appellant may kindly note that, personnel from Fluid Control Research Institute (FCRI) are engaged for facilitation of works as per the requirement of the Unit. Further, the appellant had sought for providing the information about gate passes and requests of FCRI from 2016 to 2023 which requires huge compilation of data that would disproportionately diverts the resources of the public authority. As detailed in the above para, it is reiterated that, the data related to such a large number of people can be subjected to improper usage which can cause security concerns to the Unit.

For the query SI No.5(a) by the appellant regarding the passes issued to customers and visitors, *it is informed that, visitors pass is being issued to them.*

The appellant in his appeal had mentioned the non-compliance with section 7(8) of the RTI Act and it is understood that the same was inadvertently left out by the PIO in the reply letter. However, the details of the RTI authorities *viz. Nodal Officer, FAA, CPIO etc.,* are available in the Unit's website and the appellant could have visited the same for information.

It is therefore observed that, the PIO had kept in mind the provisions of security issues while replying to applicant and invoked the provisions of 8(1)(a) and 8(1)(j). However, the appellant in his appeal has cited concerns about misrepresentation and potential corruption from the part of FCRI. In view of the arguments stated by the appellant, it would be appropriate if the appellant is given an opportunity to inspect the records as required which will uphold the transparency of the public authority. As such, the PIO is hereby by directed to provide access to the information through inspect of records subject to exemptions under section 8 and severability under section 10 of the RTI, Act 2005. The appellant can contact the PIO and the inspection of records will be arranged on a mutually convenient date and time.

4. A second appeal against this decision lies with the **Central Information Commission, CIC Bhawan, Baba Gangnath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi-110067**, within ninety days from the date on which this decision is received.

5. This Appeal is disposed of at Mahendragiri on the 25th of February, 2025.

ALTO LE

(आर रवेंद्रिन /'R.Raveendran) प्रधान, का. वसा. प्र. / Head, P&GA प्रथम अपीलीय प्राधिकारी / First Appellate Authority

सेवा में/⊺०

सूचना का अधिकार अधिनियम, 2005 के अंतर्गत प्रथम अपीलीय प्राधिकारी की कार्यवाही

PROCEEDINGS OF THE FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY UNDER THE RIGHT TO INFORMATION ACT, 2005 Appeal No.83/2025

अपीलकर्ता का नाम/Name of Appellant

प्रतिवादी का नाम/Name of Respondent

Central Public Information Officer ISRO Propulsion complex Mahendragiri, Tirunelveli District Tamilnadu - 627133

1. Shri. Ashish Shankar had submitted a RTI application on 14/11/2024 and received by CPIO, IPRC seeking information under the provisions of RTI Act, 2005. Not satisfied with the reply provided by CPIO, appellant had submitted an appeal dated 06/01/2025 to First Appellate Authority (FAA), IPRC.

DECISION

2. It is observed that the appellant had nowhere sought any information, but primarily levelled allegations against Shri. P. K. Mishra, Principal Secretary, PMO. Since the details mentioned in the application doesn't come under the purview of the Unit, CPIO informed the same to the appellant vide letter No.IPRC/CPIO/RTI/610/2025 dated 03/01/2025 (uploaded in RTI MIS on 06/01/2025).

3. The application, reply provided and appeal was examined. On perusal of the same, I am of the opinion that the reply furnished by CPIO is in order. The appeal is decided accordingly.

3. A second appeal against this decision lies with the **Central Information Commission, CIC Bhawan, Baba Gangnath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi-110067**, within ninety days from the date on which this decision is received.

4. This Appeal is disposed of at Mahendragiri on the 25th of February, 2025.

(आर रवींद्रेन / R.Raveendran) प्रधान, का. व सा. प्र. / Head, P&GA प्रथम अपीलीय प्राधिकारी / First Appellate Authority

सेवा में/⊺o

सूचनाका अधिकार अधिनियम, 2005केअंतर्गत प्रथम अपीलीय प्राधिकारी की कार्यवाही

PROCEEDINGS OF THE FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY UNDER THE RIGHT TO INFORMATION ACT, 2005 Appeal No.84/2025

अपीलकर्ता का नाम/Name of Appellant

प्रतिवादी का नाम/Name of Respondent

Central Public Information Officer ISRO Propulsion complex Mahendragiri Tirunelveli District Tamilnadu - 627133

1. Shri. Vishwajeet Munde had submitted a RTI application on 23/12/2024 and received by CPIO, IPRC seeking information under the provisions of RTI Act, 2005. Due to non-receipt of information from CPIO, appellant has submitted an appeal dated 24/01/2025 to furnish the information sought by him.

DECISION

2. It is observed that the appellant had sought details related to the tender enquiry, IPRC/PURGP3/IP202300098401 released by the Unit vide advertisement No.IPRC/PUR-GP3/DPT/2023/WS/07 dated 04/08/2023. The application and appeal have been examined, CPIO / Custodian of records at IPRC is directed to provide the relevant information to the appellant within 15 days from the date of receipt of this order subject to 'severability clause' u/s 10 (1) 'exemptions' u/s 8 of the RTI Act 2005. The appeal is decided accordingly.

3. A second appeal against this decision lies with the **Central Information Commission, CIC Bhawan, Baba Gangnath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi-110067**, within ninety days from the date on which this decision is received.

4. This Appeal is disposed of at Mahendragiri on the 25th of February, 2025.

(आर रवींद्रने) R.Ra

(आर रवाद्रने 7 R.Raveendran) प्रधान, का. वसा. प्र. / Head, P&GA प्रथमअपीलीयप्राधिकारी / First Appellate Authority

सेवामें/⊺०